UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,402	11/25/2008	Darrell H. Reneker	089498.0474.US	8684
39905 Daniel J. Schlue	7590 06/30/201	EXAMINER		
Roetzel & Andress		MEHTA, MEGHA S		
222 S. Main St. Akron, OH 443			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/595,402	RENEKER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MEGHA MEHTA	1734
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' e, cause the application to become ABA	CATION.  The ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A 2a) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under A	s action is non-final. .nce except for formal matte	·
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) 1-32 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be shown in the correct should be shown in the should be sho	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Apority documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	<b>√</b> \ □ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	(DTO 412)
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application 

Application/Control Number: 10/595,402

Art Unit: 1734

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-10 are drawn to a composition with a nanotube.

Group II, claim(s) 11 is drawn to a composition with a nanotube.

Group III, claim(s) 12-14 are drawn to a method with a nanotube.

Group IV, claim(s) 15-16 are drawn to a method with a nanotube.

Group V, claim(s) 17 is drawn to a method with a nanotube.

Group VI, claim(s) 18-19 are drawn to a method with a nanotube.

Group VII, claim(s) 20 is drawn to a method with a nanotube.

Group VIII, claim(s) 21 is drawn to a method with a nanotube.

Group IX, claim(s) 22 is drawn to a method with a nanotube.

Group X, claim(s) 23-26 are drawn to a method with a nanofiber.

Group XI, claim(s) 27 is drawn to a method with a hierarchical structure.

Group XII, claim(s) 28 is drawn to a method with a hierarchical structure.

Group XIII, claim(s) 29-31 are drawn to a method with a hierarchical structure.

Group XIV, claim(s) 32 is drawn to a method with a hierarchical structure.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,402

Art Unit: 1734

2. The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The common technical features in this application can be divided into three separate and mutually exclusive subdivisions: 1) a nanotube (groups 1-9), 2) a nanofiber (group 10) and 3) a hierarchical structure (groups 11-14). Because these three subdivisions do not share any features with any other subdivision, they lack a corresponding special technical feature.

Within each subdivision, the groups also lack a corresponding special technical feature. In subdivision 1, groups 1-9 have a common technical feature of a nanotube. In subdivision 3, groups 11-14 have a common technical feature of a hierarchical structure. (Subdivision 2 has been omitted from this analysis because it has only 1 group.) There are special technical features if they can be shown to be novel, or not yet taught in the prior art.

US 6,432,866 Tennent et al teaches the use of a nanotube (column 7, lines 41-53). Tennent also teaches a hierarchical structure (column 9, lines 40-42). Therefore, there is no special technical feature in either subdivision 1 or subdivision 3.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 1734

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof.

Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MEGHA MEHTA whose telephone number is (571)270-3598. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:30 am to 5:00 pm EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,402 Page 5

Art Unit: 1734

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emily Le can be reached on 571-272-0903. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David R. Sample/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1783

/Megha Mehta/ Examiner, Art Unit 1734